

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/009,976 | 04/12/2002 | Egidio Renna | 3-293 USA | 5792 |
| 466 YOUNG & TH | 7590 03/29/2007 OMPSON | | EXAM | IINER |
| 745 SOUTH 23 | | | WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L | |
| 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, | VA 22202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1761 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/29/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Decree As Dula 040 Octobronication | 10/009,976 | RENNA, EGIDIO | RENNA, EGIDIO | | | |
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Steven L. Weinstein | 1761 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address – | | | | |
| | | | ; | | | |
| 1. The amendment filed on 07 March 2007 under 37 CFR | 1.312 has been considered, a | nd has been: | | | | |
| a) 🔲 entered. | | | | | | |
| b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting | ng the scope of the invention. | | , | | | |
| c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a | disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. | | | | | |
| Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. | | | | | | |
| d) disapproved. See explanation below. | | | | | | |
| e) 🗀 entered in part. See explanation below. | | | | | | |
| It is noted that the amendment is substantially compliant | with current amendment pract | ce. and since the amendment is | | | | |
| entirely clear as to what is to be done, in the interest of amendment has been waived by the examiner. | expediting this application back | to the printer, any informality in the | | | | |
| in oxammon | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | *. | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | ck 11. EL | | | | |
| | | JOVE WEINSTEIN | | | | |
| | | STOVE WEINSTEIN STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER 176 | l | | | |
| | | 3/29/07 | | | | |